



# THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Erratum

**Citation for published version:**

Waddington, D, Springbett, AJ, Burt, JS & Burt, D 2000, 'Erratum: A chromosome-based model for estimating the number of conserved segments between pairs of species from comparative genetic maps', *Genetics*, vol. 155, no. 2, pp. 993.

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Peer reviewed version

**Published In:**

Genetics

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# CORRIGENDUM

In the article by David Waddington, Anthea J. Springbett and David W. Burt (Genetics **154**: 323–332) entitled “A Chromosome-Based Model for Estimating the Number of Conserved Segments Between Pairs of Species From Comparative Genetic Maps,” the following equations should be modified:

page 324, column 2, line 51, to

$$[n | y]_1 = \frac{(Dy)^n \exp(-Dy)}{n! (1 - P_{0k})};$$

page 325, column 1, line 11, to

$$[n | y]_2 = \frac{(Dy)^n \exp(-Dy)}{n! (1 - P_{0k} - P_{1k})};$$

page 325, column 2, lines 32 and 33, to

$$S_{\text{obs}} = \sum_k (1 - P_{0k}) S_k;$$

where

$$P_{nk} = \int_0^{lk} \frac{(Dy)^n \exp(-Dy)}{n!} [y]_k dy,$$

and  $S_{\text{obs}}$  is the predicted number of observed segments. This corrects the treatment of truncated Poisson distributions for integration. Estimated numbers of conserved segments are increased by 18–96%, on average by 45%. The random genome model is supported for all cases except the chicken-mouse comparison using range data.